

Journal of Rehabilitation Research and Development

Instructions to Reviewers

If after receiving this manuscript online, you feel there is a Conflict of Interest, which might interfere with your ability to review this manuscript fairly, please inform the Editor immediately notifying her that the conflict exists.

Contributed Scientific Research and Development papers describe completed and fully interpreted results of original research (including Pilot Studies). In addition to full-length articles, the Journal publishes Technical Notes, which are shorter research reports and describe a technique and/or procedure that contributes to furthering the purposes of rehabilitation research. Clinical Reports are studies of an evaluation of a particular prototype, a new clinical technique or other topic of particular clinical interest.

In your critique of this manuscript please thoroughly address its scientific merit, and its quality of presentation. The following areas must be commented on and addressed:

- Is the Abstract informative and does it give the essence of the research in clear sufficient terms, i.e., the nature of the problem, the significant data, the results and the conclusions? The abstract should be self-explanatory and suitable for reproduction by abstracting services or info-retrieval systems without rewriting.
- Is the Experimental Question clearly stated, and is it significant in the context of a known scientific problem?
- Methodology: is the research design and the methods described detailed and clearly presented?
- Are the Statistical Methods in enough detail to enable the readers to verify the results?
- Do the list of key words reflect the central topic?
- If the manuscript is Acceptable, a brief statement describing its significant contributions should be made together with suggestions for minor improvements, if needed.
- **If the manuscript is recommended for Rejection, the major reasons must be stated specifically, in language, which can be transmitted to the authors.**
- **If a manuscript appears to be acceptable but requires modifications, suggested revisions should be stated precisely.**

Reviewers are requested to refrain from communicating directly with authors or from disclosing their identity without prior editorial consent. The contents of the manuscript are the property of the author. The reviewer should treat the manuscript as a confidential communication and not discuss it with anyone except the Journal Editor.

The scientific quality of the JRRD is strongly related to the quality of the review effort. Because of the diverse subject matter covered by JRRD, the Editor relies heavily upon reviewer opinion and comments even when the recommendations are strongly for Rejection. For this reason, recommendations that are returned without written comments will be discarded.

Does the manuscript comply with “Uniform Requirements for Manuscripts Submitted to Biomedical Journals,” developed by the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors? If not, please point this out to the authors.

***PLEASE RETAIN A COPY OF YOUR REVIEW.**